CASE NUMBER: V24-06-18/R24-06-03
DATE RECEIVED: May 1, 2024
DATE OF MEETING: June 4, 2024 and June 18, 2024
Address: 1819 Claiborne
Subdivision: City of Mandeville, Square 8 Lots 2 \& 3
Zoning District: R-1 Single Family Residential District
Property Owner: James Sexton

REQUEST: V24-06-18/R24-06-03 - James Sexton requests a variance to CLURO Section 7.5.1.3. R-1 Site Development Regulations and to resubdivide into Lots 2 \& 3, City of Mandeville, Square 8 Lots 2 \& 3, R1 Single Family Residential District, 1819 Claiborne Street

## CASE SUMMARY:

The property at 1819 Claiborne is located on the north side of Claiborne St., east of Marigny Ave., and west of Lamarque St. The property measures $127.89^{\prime} \times 250.56^{\prime}$ and has a square footage of $32,008.31$ per a survey prepared by Ned Wilson and dated 9.14 .2006 . The property is currently improved with a single-family residence.

Lots 2 and 3 were purchased together and have been under single ownership and are now considered to be a single lot, under Section 4.2.4.5. Provisions for Legally Non-Conforming Lots-of-Record. The lots may be separated by right if the lot will meet the minimum buildable area and the lot is no less than $85 \%$ of the minimum lot width. The Lots are within the R-1 District and require a minimum of $90^{\prime}$ of frontage. $85 \%$ is $76.5^{\prime}$ frontage.

A survey by Ned Wilson, PLS dated 9.14 .2006 has been submitted by the applicant. The contiguous lots of record are a total of $127.89^{\prime}$ along Claiborne St. and $250.28^{\prime}$ in depth, containing $32,008.31$ square feet. The applicant is requesting to subdivide the parcel into two lots, one measuring 63.94' $\times 250.56^{\prime}$ and the other measuring 63.95' $\times 250.28^{\prime}$. The proposed lots will be deficient $26.06^{\prime}$ and $26.05^{\prime}$ in frontage respectively, the lot area for each lot exceeds the minimum requirement of $10,800 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$. Lot 2 is currently unimproved. Lot 3 is currently improved with a single-family residence.

| Existing Lot | Current | Required | Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Width | $127.89^{\prime}$ | $90^{\prime}$ | $+37.89^{\prime}$ |
| Depth | $250.28^{\prime}$ | $120^{\prime}$ | +130.28 |
| Area | $32,008.31 \mathrm{sqft}$ | $10,800 \mathrm{sqft}$ | $+21,208.31 \mathrm{sqft}$ |


| Proposed Lot 2 | Proposed | Required | Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Width | $63.94^{\prime}$ | $90^{\prime}$ | $-26.06^{\prime}$ |
| Depth | $250.56^{\prime}$ | $120^{\prime}$ | $+130.56^{\prime}$ |
| Area | $16,020.81 \mathrm{sqft}$ | $10,800 \mathrm{sqft}$ | $+5,220.81$ |


| Proposed Lot 3 | Proposed | Required | Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Width | $63.95^{\prime}$ | $90^{\prime}$ | $-26.05^{\prime}$ |
| Depth | $250.28^{\prime}$ | $120^{\prime}$ | $+130.28^{\prime}$ |
| Area | $16,005.41 \mathrm{sqft}$ | $10,800 \mathrm{sqft}$ | $+5,205.41 \mathrm{sqft}$ |

## CLURO SECTIONS:

### 4.2.4.5. Provisions for Legally Non-Conforming Lots-of-Record

1. If lot dimensions do not meet minimum standards and the lot has been in separate ownership from adjacent property continuously since passage of this CLURO, such lot may be used as a building site for a permitted use in conformance with the requirements of district in which the site is located.
2. When a substandard lot is used together with one or more contiguous lots for a single use or unified development, including lots used for off-street parking, all lots shall be considered a single lot for the purposes of these Land Use Regulations.
3. If two (2) or more contiguous lots-of-record or parts thereof are in single ownership and all or part of the lots do not meet the requirements for lot width, area, or buildable area lying outside of areas of periodic inundation (defined in Article 3) as established herein, the lands involved shall be considered an undivided parcel for the purposes of this CLURO. No portion of said parcel shall be used or sold which does not meet the minimum lot width, depth and area requirements established herein, except as follows:
a. the lot area of each lot meets the minimum area and buildable area requirements of the zoning district in which it is located; and
b. the lot width is no less than $85 \%$ of the minimum lot width required in the zoning district in which it is located.
4. When one or more lots abut one or more lots that do not meet minimum requirements, the lots may be reconfigured to increase the conformity of the substandard lots, provided that the remaining parcel or parcels conform to minimum standards.

Each development site in the R-1 Single-Family Residential Zoning District shall be subject to the following site development regulations in addition to any regulations applicable under the provisions of Article 8. The Planning Director may grant exceptions to the following standards pursuant to section 4.3.5.

| 1. Minimum lot area | 10,800 Square feet (except for legal non-conforming lots <br> as provided) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. Minimum building area (Square feet per unit) | 1,200 Square feet |
| 3. Minimum lot width | $90^{\prime}$ |
| 4. Minimum lot depth | $120^{\prime}$ |
| 5. Minimum Yard Setback Requirements |  |
| a. Front yard | $25^{\prime}$ |
| b. Interior side yard* |  |
| i. Frontage up to 50' |  |
| ii. Frontage between $51^{\prime}-60^{\prime}$ | $8^{\prime}$ each side |
| iii. Frontage between $61^{\prime}-75^{\prime}$ | $10^{\prime}$ each side |
| iv. Frontage between $76^{\prime}-80^{\prime}$ | $12^{\prime}$ each side |
| v. Frontage between $81^{\prime}-90^{\prime}$ | $13^{\prime}$ each side |
| vi. Frontage between $91^{\prime}-100^{\prime}$ | $15^{\prime}$ each side |
| vii. Frontage between $101^{\prime}-110^{\prime}$ | $16^{\prime}$ each side |
| viii. Frontage between $111^{\prime}+^{\prime}$ | $18^{\prime}$ each side |
| c. Street side yard | $20^{\prime}$ each side |
| d. Rear yard | $15^{\prime}$ |
| 6. Maximum Height of Structures | $30^{\prime}$ |
| 7. Maximum Impervious Site Coverage | $35^{\prime}$ |

*The side yard setbacks of the site may be shifted into the opposite yard up to $30 \%$ so long as the area lost in one required side yard is provided in the opposite side yard and the total minimum setback of the site is provided.


James G Sexton
Executor of Maureen Sexton's Will
1808 Garon Drive
Mandeville, LA 70448


May 1, 2024
Planning And Zoning Commission
3101 East Causeway Approach
Mandeville, LA 70448

To the Mandeville Planning and Zoning Commission:
My name is James "Jim" Sexton. I am one of three heirs to our Mother, Maureen Elizabeth Sheane Sexton who perished on February 6, 2024. The other heirs are my siblings Billy T Sexton Jr and Susan Elizabeth Sexton Stephenson. I was appointed Executor of our Mother's affairs after her death with consent of my siblings. These facts are being established to show that we heirs are now the owners of our deceased Mother's property on 1819 Claiborne Street.

We are respectfully requesting that the said property on 1819 Claiborne Street; Lot 2 and Lot 3 Sq 8 be split into two separate buildable lots. As stated on the Application of Variance/ Exception form, I have attached requested required forms and some additional information which may help answer some questions that you may have. And of course, if you have any other additional questions, please contact me at your convenience.

Our parents purchased the home and property at 1819 Claiborne Street in August 1974. Our parents divorced in 1992 and our Mother kept the home and property as part of their settlement. She loved living in Old Mandeville. As years passed and she retired; she suffered like so many others did after Hurricane Katrina. Her home which had been built in the 1800's would now be left in ruins after that storm. It literally broke her heart and l'm not sure she ever fully recovered from that horrific event. I helped secure a FEMA trailer for her property but she lived mostly away in Jackson, MS and Dallas TX with her daughters while she tried to determine her next move.

A few years later, she called me crying that she wanted to come home. So she did. Thus began the arduous process of destruction and then rebuilding.

The original home on the said property was built right in the middle of both lots 2 and 3 . She was told by someone at the Mandeville Town Hall that she should consider building her new home on the middle of one of the lots and that she could sell the other to help pay off her home. It seemed like a brilliant idea and construction soon began. If this were not true, she surely would have built the new home in the middle of both lots where the old home was. Our Mom contacted Knight Builders Construction which is a very reputable builder on the Northshore. Vaughn Knight, owner of the construction company, went through all the appropriate hoops to ensure our Mother's home was built to the precise specifications of St Tammany Parish and the town of Mandeville. Soon construction began on Lot 3 and her new home was completed in 2008. We talked several times about splitting the lots off but never followed through. We never were under any impression that it would be a problem to separate the lots as there are many lots the same width as her lots all around her block. And for that
matter, all over Old Mandeville. Attached is an ariel overview of her block depicting many narrow lots.

Unfortunately, in November 2018; our Mother became very ill. It was the beginning of an over 5 year stay at Forest Manor Nursing Home in Covington, LA. She was able to keep her home and car. We considered splitting the lots in 2019. If we could sell the lot we could use that money to help pay for her care at her home. But her hope was to be able to walk again. She never gave up on that dream. She wanted to be self sufficient at her home in Old Mandeville near the Lakefront where she once rode her horse as a child. Sadly, Mom never made it home and she passed away while at Forest Manor.

So, here we are today. We, her heirs, are requesting to separate the lots. If we can sell Lot 2, we hopefully would be able to pay outstanding debts on her home. We wish we didn't have to but we have commitment's that we must address. We appreciate your time and effort reviewing this request. Again, if you need any additional information, have any questions; please contact me. If I am unable to be reached for an immediate response, please contact my siblings Susan Stephenson who is currently living in our Mother's home. Also, my brother Billy T Sexton Jr can be contacted. He resides currently in Mansfield, TX

Sincerely,

James G Sexton

James Sexton cell:
Susan Stephenson cell:
Billy T Sexton Jr cell:

LOTS $2 \& 3$, SQUARE 8, TOWN OF MANDEVILLE, as per survey
Oy E.J. Champagne, dated 15 NoV 73. Drawing No. 3650 ,
St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana.


CERTIFIED TO: MAYREEN S. SEXTON
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CASE NUMBER: V24-06-19/R24-06-04
DATE RECEIVED: May 15, 2024
DATE OF MEETING: June 4, 2024 and June 18, 2024

Address: 738 Foy
Subdivision: City of Mandeville, Square 55 Parcel B
Zoning District: R-1 Single Family Residential District
Property Owner: David McGuire

REQUEST: V24-06-19/R24-06-04 - David McGuire requests a variance to CLURO Section 7.5.1.3. R-1 Site Development Regulations and to resubdivide Parcel B into Parcels B-1 \& B-2, City of Mandeville, Square 55 Parcel B, R-1 Single Family Residential District, 738 Foy Street

## CASE SUMMARY:

The property at 738 Foy is located on the corner of Foy St., and Montgomery St. The property is irregular in shape, measuring 131' along Foy St., 140.8' along Montgomery St., 131.35' along the rear property line, and 131.23' along the south property line and has a square footage of 17,818 per a survey prepared by Randall Brown \& Associates and dated 3.14.2024. The property is currently improved with a single-family residence.

The applicant is requesting an exception to the minimum lot width and area to resubdivide the property into Parcels B-1 \& B-2. The lot is zoned $\mathrm{R}-1$ with a minimum lot width requirement of $90^{\prime}$ and a minimum area requirement of $10,800 \mathrm{sqft}$. The proposed lots would be compliant in depth.

| Proposed Parcel B-1 | Proposed | Required | Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Width | $65.50^{\prime}$ | $90^{\prime}$ | $-24.5^{\prime}$ |
| Depth | $131.23^{\prime}$ | $120^{\prime}$ | $+11.23^{\prime}$ |
| Area | $8,752 \mathrm{sqft}$ | $10,800 \mathrm{sqft}$ | $-2,048 \mathrm{sqft}$ |
| \begin{tabular}{\|l|c|c|c|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |  |  |  |
| Wroposed Parcel B-2 | Proposed | Required | Difference |
| Depth | $65.50^{\prime}$ | $90^{\prime}$ | $-24.5^{\prime}$ |
| Area | $136.01^{\prime}$ | $120^{\prime}$ | $+16.01^{\prime}$ |

Public Works has reviewed the proposed survey and calculated sewer and water connections prices. The estimated cost must be paid before Public Works will sign off on the plat.

## CLURO SECTIONS:

### 7.5.1.3. $\mathrm{R}-1$ Site Development Regulations

Each development site in the R-1 Single-Family Residential Zoning District shall be subject to the following site development regulations in addition to any regulations applicable under the provisions of Article 8. The Planning Director may grant exceptions to the following standards pursuant to section 4.3.5.

| 1. Minimum lot area | 10,800 Square feet (except for legal non-conforming lots as provided) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. Minimum building area (Square feet per unit) | 1,200 Square feet |
| 3. Minimum lot width | 90' |
| 4. Minimum lot depth | 120' |
| 5. Minimum Yard Setback Requirements |  |
| a. Front yard | $25^{\prime}$ |
| b. Interior side yard* |  |
| i. Frontage up to 50' | 8' each side |
| ii. Frontage between 51'-60' | 10' each side |
| iii. Frontage between $61^{\prime}-75^{\prime}$ | 12' each side |
| iv. Frontage between $76^{\prime}-80^{\prime}$ | 13' each side |
| v. Frontage between $81^{\prime}-90^{\prime}$ | 15' each side |
| vi. Frontage between 91' - 100' | 16' each side |
| vii. Frontage between 101' - 110' | 18' each side |
| viii. Frontage between 111' +' | 20' each side |
| c. Street side yard | $15^{\prime}$ |
| d. Rear yard | $30^{\prime}$ |
| 6. Maximum Height of Structures | 35' |
| 7. Maximum Impervious Site Coverage | 45\% |

*The side yard setbacks of the site may be shifted into the opposite yard up to $30 \%$ so long as the area lost in one required side yard is provided in the opposite side yard and the total minimum setback of the site is provided.



CASE NUMBER: Z24-06-06
DATE RECEIVED: May 10, 2024
DATE OF MEETING: June 4, 2024 and June 18, 2024
Address: 294 Oakwood
Subdivision: Tanglewood, Square 1 Remainder of Lots 1 \& 2
Zoning District: B-1 Neighborhood Business District
Property Owner: Sarah Probst

REQUEST: Z24-06-06 - Sarah Probst requests the rezoning of a Lot designated B-1 Neighborhood Business District to R-2 Two-Family Residential District, Tanglewood, Square 1 Remainder of Lots 1 \& 2, B-1 Neighborhood Business District, 294 Oakwood Drive

## CASE SUMMARY:

The applicant owns the property at 294 Oakwood located on the corner of Hwy 190 and Oakwood Dr. The property is irregular in shape due to the expansion of Hwy 190, measuring 113.92' along Hwy 190, 140.25' along Oakwood Dr., 103.38' along the east property line, and 48.81' along the rear property line and has a square footage of 10,154 per a survey prepared by Randall Brown \& Associates and dated 5.24.2007. The property is currently unimproved.

The properties to the north are zoned B-1 Neighborhood Business District, the properties to the south along Hwy 190 are zoned B-2 Highway Business District, and the properties to the east are zoned R-1X Single-Family Residential - Existing Small Lots District.

The applicant is requesting to rezone the property to $R-2$ to construct a duplex. Residential uses are not permitted in the B-1 District. The lot is a corner lot with $140.25^{\prime}$ of frontage on Oakwood Dr. and $103.38^{\prime}$ in depth. The property is deficient in the required lot depth. The R-2 Site Development Criteria requires 75' for the minimum lot width, 120' in depth and a minimum lot area of 9,000 square feet. The R-2 District requires a minimum of 5,000 sqft of lot area per unit, allowing for a maximum of two units.

The use table allows for duplexes in the R-2 district and not the R-1X district.

## CLURO SECTIONS:

### 7.5.3.1. Purpose of the R-2 Two-Family Residential District

The purpose of the R-2 Two-Family Residential District is to provide an area for moderate density single-family residential uses and duplex uses with one structure on one lot while maintaining a single-family neighborhood character.
6.2.3. Duplex Residential

The use of a site for two dwelling units, other than a mobile homes, within a single building.

| Use Classification | R. $\mathbf{1}$ | R.1X | R.2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.2 Residential Use Classification |  |  |  |
| 6.2.1 Single Family | P | P | P |
| 6.2.2 Cluster Resid.* |  |  | C |
| 6.2.3 Duplex Resid. |  |  | P |
| 6.2.4 Two Family * |  |  | S |
| 6.2.5 Townhouse * |  |  |  |
| 6.2.6 Condo. Res. |  |  | P |
| 6.2.7 Multi-Family |  |  |  |




