**MINUTES**

**FOR THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBUARY 11, 2021**

The regular meeting of the Mandeville City Council was called to order by the Council Chairman at 6:10 p.m. Following the call to order, we continued with the Pledge of Allegiance then observed a moment of silent prayer.

**ROLL CALL - present:** Jason Zuckerman, Jill McGuire, Rick Danielson, Rebecca Bush, Skelly Kreller

**Also present:** Mayor Madden, Clif Siverd, Interim Dir. Public Works, Louisette Scott, Dir. of Planning, Kathleen Sides, Finance Director, Elizabeth Sconzert, City Attorney, and Chief Sticker

Mr. Danielson explained the council was meeting via Zoom, by his decision, and wanted to read the statue that allows the public body to meet to possibly clarify any questions. The council would like to meet in person and hopefully that will happen very soon. The council will continue to work with the administration and mayor to get back to the Community Center soon, however the Community Center is still closed to the public.

In compliance with La. R.S. 42:17.1. The statue reads: Under La. R.S. 42:17.1, when there is a gubernatorially declared state of emergency, a public body conduct and its members may attend and participate in a public meeting via electronic means. To do so, the presiding officer of the public body must certify on the notice of the meeting that the agenda is limited to one or more of the following:

 \* Matters that are directly related to the public body’s response to the emergency and are critical to the health, safety or welfare of the public;

 \* Matters that if they are delayed will cause curtailment of vital public services or severe economic dislocation and hardship;

 \* Matters that are critical to the continuation of the business of the public body and that are not able to be postponed to a meeting held in accordance with the other provisions of the Open Meeting Law due to a legal requirement or other deadline that cannot be postponed or delayed by the public body; or

 \* Other matters that are critical or time-sensitive and that in the determination of the presiding officer should not be delayed; however, such matters shall not be considered at the meeting unless 2/3 vote of the members present at the meeting approve the consideration of the matters.

Mr. Danielson explained he used criteria #4, critical or time-sensitive matters to conduct the meeting. Before every item he will ask for a vote to move forward with the agenda, this would require 2/3 vote of the council or 4 votes. If we have 4 votes we will proceed, if not, there will be no discussion on that item and it will be moved to the next meeting. He asked if there were any questions from the council.

**MINUTES:**

Adoption of the January 28, 2021 Meeting Minutes.

Mr. Danielson asked for a motion to move forward with the agenda item. A motion was made by Mrs. Bush and seconded by Dr. Kreller. A hand vote was required and the adoption passed 4-1 (Zuckerman opposed). Mr. Danielson asked for a motion to discuss. A motion was made by Mrs. Bush and seconded by Dr. Kreller. Mrs. Bush explained under LA RS 42:20, we are under an obligation to make minutes available within a reasonable time period and that is the reason for her vote to move forward and approve the minutes. Mr. Zuckerman would like to be consistent and feels the minutes can be delayed to the next regularly held meeting without having any impact. Dr. Kreller requested an opinion from Mrs. Sconzert. Mrs. Sconzert agreed with Mrs. Bush in the obligation to provide the minutes however there is no definition of what is a reasonable time period. With no further comments or questions, a vote was taken and the adoption of the January 28, 2021 meeting minutes passed 5-0.

**REPORTS & ANNOUNCEMENTS**:

The mayor announced tomorrow is the final virtual Dew Drop Concert and on February 20th Krewe du Pooch will have their virtual dog parade.

**OLD BUSINESS**:

1.Adoption of [Ordinance No. 20-26](https://www.cityofmandeville.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/20-26.pdf); AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANDEVILLE APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR THE USE DESIGNATED UNDER CLURO SECTION 6.4.42.3 LODGING (TRANSIENT) SHORT-TERM RENTAL: WHOLE HOUSE RENTAL, LOCATED ON 131 LAFITTE ST., SQ. 1, A PORTION OF LOT 12; ZONED B-3 OLD MANDEVILLE BUSINESS DISTRICT; APPROVING SITE PLAN, FLOOR PLAN, AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH. A motion to discuss was made by Mrs. Bush and seconded by Dr. Kreller. Mrs. Bush announced pursuant to CLURO article 4.3.1.2 once an item has been filed a vote has to be taken by the Council within 120 days. This permits 120 days ends on February 19th. Mr. Zuckerman explained last week he voted not to discuss the item and now one meting has lapsed and it is now time sensitive because the legal deadline for the Council to act on the application will pass before the next meeting. A vote to proceed with discussion passed 5-0. Mr. Danielson asked for a motion to discuss. A motion was made by Mrs. Bush and seconded by Dr. Kreller.

Mrs. McGuire explained this is the 7th application for a short term rental and this is for the first structure (house) on the property. The first (6) applications for STR have had no opposition and this application has sparked a lot of citizen discussion. Mrs. Scott explained the STR ordinance; 19-34 was adopted in February of 2020. Prior to this ordinance the city had no regulations on STR or whole house rentals. The purpose of 1934 was to define STR, whole house rentals and B&B STR. This ordinance also created a cop of 10 permits. This ordinance is for the house and not for the barn which is located in the back. The STR ordinance was established to create a review for every dwelling unit and not just the site so this is why one property site can have 2 applications. This is the primary residence, 2 bedrooms with a max occupancy of 6 guests and requires 2 parking spaces which are located below the structure. The Planning Commission recommends approval of the permit for the front property.

Mrs. Bush has received many comments about this application and wants to make sure we protect the property rights of the owner. She believes the spirit of Ordinance 19-34 was not to have 2 permits on one property and she is not in favor of two permits on a single property. Several residents have suggested a moratorium and in a sense the city has that by putting a cap of 10 permits. She would be opposed to more than 10 permits.

Mrs. Zuckerman stated the reason for 19-34 was to address this growing trend of STR and try to put some restrictions in place that would not have an impact on the community. A perfect ordinance is impossible so the cap of 10 was a start. The Planning department, P&Z commission and the council all have to make a decision based upon the criteria in 4.3.3.8 of the CLURO. The first six went through with minimal public discussion and these four have come under a tremendous amount of criticism. Under 4.3.3.8, number 3 and number 11 bring up the question that there is something different about these and the community has some concerns. There may be some considerations that need to be addressed that possibly were not a topic when the original ordinance was created. He agrees with Mrs. Bush at this time for those reasons.

Mrs. Bush stated this ordinance is for the house which is 2 bedrooms, maximum occupancy of 6, and the next ordinance is for the barn which has 3 bedrooms, max occupancy of 8.

Mr. Danielson wanted to confirm with Mrs. Scott these permits are good for one year. Mrs. Scot explained the conditional use permit is good for 2 years and the STR permit is valid for 1 year and is eligible for renewal.

Mrs. McGuire has some enforcement concerns. Could you deny the renewal for the STR permit and still have the conditional use permit? Mrs. Scott explained if the property has 3 valid violations, the Council can revoke all permits. Mrs. McGuire wanted to know what the city is doing regarding all of the existing air bnb sites. Mrs. Scott stated the city’s code enforcement officer watches the sites and puts the violations together. This is a three step process: 1- identify the location, 2- notice of violation letter sent with time to cease operations, 3- if the property does not comply then they receive a citation to mayor’s court which has fine of $500 per day. The code enforcement officer is currently working on a draft letter for review.

Dr. Kreller stated ordinance 20-26 and 20-27 are both for one property with one mailing address. He has a problem with the city issuing them two permits; this is not enabling the city to spread the permits throughout the city. He agrees with Mrs. Bush and Mr. Zuckerman that this could be a problem in the future with regards to density, parking and traffic.

Mr. Zuckerman suggested this was not a discussion about the STR ordinance but the focus should be on the ordinances presented. He asked Mrs. Scott if the P&Z commission discussed the possibility of two permits on the same property. Mrs. Scott explained the discussion did occur. Here are properties that have two dwelling units on one site. The commission felt it was best to assign a permit to each structure instead of the whole site because it could enable some type of control and limit to the amount of units available for STR.

Mr. Frankie Cheek is the owner of 131 Lafitte and stated prior to applying for the STR permit, he has used air bnb for 10 months and received numerous compliments on the site. These permits would reduce his rentals from 3 families to 2 families. If the council was only to approve one permit he would like the front house which would allow him to do some traveling and it would only be rented 50% of the time.

Mrs. McGuire explained this permit for the house is the lesser density and is the less intrusive of the two. She did receive a complaint about parking and noise from the gravel driveway however; she went to the site and does not feel there is an issue. This is also zoned B-3.

Mr. Charles Goodwin feels property owners should have rights like Mrs. Bush stated but it also should include a responsibility to the neighbors. He is concerned with STR you do not know who you are renting to and suggested permitting the back property on a trial basis.

Mr. David Persons feels it is not fair to give one property two permits when there is a limit and others want to apply. He suggests if the council would grant the permit to give only one and it the smaller less intrusive structure.

Mr. Danielson is also in favor of only one permit and the front unit only. With no further comments or questions, a vote was taken and the ordinance passed 5-0.

2.Adoption of [Ordinance No. 20-27;](https://www.cityofmandeville.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/20-27.pdf) AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANDEVILLE APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR THE USE DESIGNATED UNDER CLURO SECTION 6.4.42.3 LODGING (TRANSIENT) SHORT-TERM RENTAL: WHOLE HOUSE RENTAL, LOCATED ON 131 LAFITTE ST. UNIT B, SQ. 1, A PORTION OF LOT 12; ZONED B-3 OLD MANDEVILLE BUSINESS DISTRICT; APPROVING SITE PLAN, FLOOR PLAN, AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH. A motion to discuss was made by Mrs. Bush and seconded by Dr. Kreller. Mrs. Bush announced pursuant to CLURO article 4.3.1.2 once an item has been filed a vote has to be taken by the Council within 120 days. This permits 120 days ends on February 19th. A vote to proceed with discussion passed 5-0. Mr. Danielson asked for a motion to discuss. A motion was made by Mrs. Bush and seconded by Mrs. McGuire.

Mr. Danielson explained this is for the same property address but for the barn in the back. Mrs. McGuire explained the barn has a capacity of 8 ppl. She feels if this gets approved, they are setting a precedent for the next two permits. Many residents have reached out to her and don’t want any short term rentals. Mrs. Sconzert explained any discussion going beyond the 10 permit cap would have to go through a major vetting process. District 3 is not the only area short term rentals are allowed, but they are the location of the prior permits. Mrs. Scott explained they are allowed in R-2, R-3, B-1, B-2, OR, PM1, PM2 and the Planned District. It just happens that they are concentrated in District 3 due to the amenities.

Mrs. McGuire stated Old Mandeville has no HOA so they rely on the Council. Currently the approved STR is: 1 by the Marina, 3 on Jackson, 1 on Coffee and 1 on Girod. These are not negatively impacting the area. She has concerns with the barn because both units could be rented at the same time. If you don’t allow both units to be rented at the same time, then why issue two permits.

Mr. Zuckerman wanted to focus on the permit presented, not the STR ordinance. The city has not yet decided what to do once they reach the 10 permit cap. He is concerned about 2 permits on one property and thus changing the use of the property to basically a mini hotel with no onsite management. He feels further evaluation is needed.

Dr. Kreller explained will not know the effects of the STR until next year however; he is concerned about the integrity of the neighborhoods. Other cities are having issues with STR ordinance and we need to be cautious.

Ms. Susan Cazaux wanted to know if the owner is not going to be present 50% of the time, who will handle any issues? Mr. Cheek stated his son and daughter-in-law lives 5 blocks away.

Mr. David Person feels no one site should get two permits and requests the council deny this permit.

With no further comments or questions, a vote was taken and the ordinance failed 0-5.

3.Adoption of [Ordinance No. 20-29](https://www.cityofmandeville.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/20-29-1.pdf); AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANDEVILLE WITH RESPECT TO PARCEL P-7-1 & P-7-2B, CONSISTING OF 1 & 0.485 ACRES ZONED AS PCUD, PLANNED COMBINED USE DISTRICT, LOCATED IN NORTH CORPORATE VILLAGE, PROPERTY SITUATED IN SECTION 46, T85, R11E, CITY OF MANDEVILLE, IN ST. TAMMANY PARISH, LOUISIANA; APPROVING THE SITE PLAN, CONCEPTUAL LANDSCAPE PLAN AND CONCEPTUAL ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATIONS; AND PROVIDING FOR FURTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH. A motion to discuss was made by Mrs. Bush and seconded by Dr. Kreller. Mrs. Bush announced pursuant to CLURO article 4.3.1.2 once an item has been filed a vote has to be taken by the Council within 120 days. This permits 120 days ends on March 9th. A vote to proceed with discussion passed 4-1 (Zuckerman against). Mr. Danielson asked for a motion to discuss. A motion was made by Mrs. Bush and seconded by Dr. Kreller. Dr. Kreller explained this is for an addition for the Northshore Surgery Clinic. He has reviewed the plans and feels it will be a beautiful addition to the area. Mrs. Scott stated Dr. Boudreaux purchased the adjacent parcel and is requesting an expansion of the current building. This is coming to the council for approval because it is in accordance with Ordinance 04-45, the Planned District, and he is required to submit a site plan and get it approved by ordinance. She stated he is in compliant under the CLURO and has received a favorable recommendation from the P&Z Commission.

Mr. Zuckerman wanted to explain his vote to not discuss. He attended the P&Z work sessions and meetings on this proposed project and feels this is a good project, but can be deferred until the next regularly held in person public meeting.

Dr. Kreller feels this is a critical item and we have over 40 people involved in this zoom meeting. Dr. Boudreaux has spent a lot of money to proceed with the addition and with 40 people on the meeting, they can give their opinion, but he feels they should move forward.

Mrs. Bush thinks there is a difference between zoom and a public meeting. Mr. Zuckerman feels this does not fit in the four criteria’s specified and he is passionate about live in person public discussions If something can be deferred it should be discussed live in person.

Dr. Boudreaux is anxious to move forward and it is time sensitive to him and his patients.

With no further comments or questions, a vote was taken and the ordinance passed 5-0.

4. Adoption of [Ordinance No. 20-31](https://www.cityofmandeville.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/ord-20-31.pdf); AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANDEVILLE APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR THE USE DESIGNATED UNDER CLURO SECTION 6.4.42.3 LODGING (TRANSIENT) SHORT-TERM RENTAL: WHOLE HOUSE RENTAL, LOCATED ON 117 GIROD ST., A PORTION OF SQ. 2, A PORTION OF GROUND; ZONED B-3 OLD MANDEVILLE BUSINESS DISTRICT; APPROVING SITE PLAN, FLOOR PLAN, AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH. A motion to discuss was made by Mrs. Bush and seconded by Mrs. McGuire. Mrs. Bush announced pursuant to CLURO article 4.3.1.2 once an item has been filed a vote has to be taken by the Council within 120 days. This permits 120 days ends on March 11th. A vote to proceed with discussion passed 4-1 (Zuckerman against). Mr. Danielson asked for a motion to discuss. A motion was made by Mrs. Bush and seconded by Dr. Kreller. Mrs. McGuire explained this is for one portion of a duplex in the 100 block of Girod. Mrs. Scott stated this is for 117 Girod, the south side. 119 Girod currently has a tenant. There were a few amendments which needed to be adopted if the council wished to move forward. Mrs. Scott stated the commission gave a favorable review for approval of the ordinance. Mr. Danielson made a motion to amend the correct owner to Kingsmill Tchefuncte Harbour LLC; seconded by Mrs. Bush. With no further comments or questions, a vote was taken and the amendment passed 5-0. Mr. Danielson made a second motion to amend the revised site plan date 1/22/2021; seconded by Mrs. Bush. With no further comments or questions, a vote was taken and the amendment passed 5-0. Mr. Danielson made a third motion to amend the street name listed from Jackson to Girod; seconded by Mrs. Bush. With no further comments or questions, a vote was taken and the amendment passed 5-0. Mrs. Bush stated 117 Girod is the location of the owner? Mr. Bryant, representative for Kingsmill, explained 117 are used sometimes and 119 are currently rented. This is a small shotgun double. They applied for two permits because 117 are open and available for STR and 119 will be open for STR when the tenant moves out.

Mr. David Persons stated the owner cannot live there because it is owned by a corporation. He is not opposed to one permit but does not feel they should get two permits for the same reasons he stated for the Lafitte property.

Mrs. McGuire thought one unit was using a parking bay on the street? Mrs. Scott explained 117 have parking in the back while 119’s parking is 1 in the driveway and 1 on the street from the site plan. They could also stack along the driveway.

Dr. Kreller asked how long the lease is for 119 Girod. Mr. Bryant stated the lease expires April 1st and would be up for renewal.

Mrs. Bush asked Mr. Bryant if the council was only going to approve one permit does he have a preference. Mr. Bryant said they never thought about this.

Mr. Danielson explained it would make more sense to approve 117 because 119 have a lease and that lease could be renewed.

Mrs. McGuire agrees with Mr. Danielson. She would prefer 117 because they have parking in the back of the property.

With no further comments or questions, a vote was taken and the ordinance passed 5-0.

5. Adoption [of Ordinance No. 20-32](https://www.cityofmandeville.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/20-32.pdf); AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANDEVILLE APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR THE USE DESIGNATED UNDER CLURO SECTION 6.4.42.3 LODGING (TRANSIENT) SHORT-TERM RENTAL: WHOLE HOUSE RENTAL, LOCATED ON 119 GIROD ST., A PORTION OF SQ. 2, A PORTION OF GROUND; ZONED B-3 OLD MANDEVILLE BUSINESS DISTRICT; APPROVING SITE PLAN, FLOOR PLAN, AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH. A motion to discuss was made by Mrs. Bush and seconded by Mrs. McGuire. Mrs. Bush announced pursuant to CLURO article 4.3.1.2 once an item has been filed a vote has to be taken by the Council within 120 days. This permits 120 days ends on March 11th. A vote to proceed with discussion passed 4-1 (Zuckerman against). Mr. Danielson asked for a motion to discuss. A motion was made by Mrs. Bush and seconded by Dr. Kreller. Mr. Danielson explained this is for the same building but for the 119 address.

Mr. Zuckerman explained he will vote against this for the same reasons of having two permits on one property.

With no further comments or questions, a vote was taken and the ordinance failed 0-5. Mr. Danielson stated after this ordinance the council has approved 8 short term rental permits.

**NEW BUSINESS:**

1. introduction of [Ordinance No. 21-02](https://www.cityofmandeville.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/ord-21-02.pdf); AN Ordinance for the City of Mandeville to enact a twelve month amortization on SECTION 10.5.3.11 ELECTRONIC MESSAGE CENTERS OR DIGITAL SIGNS OF THE LAND USE REGULATIONS OF APPENDIX A- COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE REGULATIONS OF THE CITY OF MANDEVILLE AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH. A motion to discuss was made by Dr. Kreller and seconded by Mrs. Bush, as fitting within the criteria. A vote to proceed with the discussion failed 3-2. Mrs. McGuire and Mr. Zuckerman voted against, therefore it could not be discussed. Mr. Danielson stated this will now be taken up at the next council meeting

2. Withdrawal of [Ordinance No. 20-33](https://www.cityofmandeville.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/ord-20-33-r.pdf); AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANDEVILLE AMENDING SECTION 10.5.3.11 ELECTRONIC MESSAGE CENTERS OR DIGITAL SIGNS OF THE LAND USE REGULATIONS OF APPENDIX A- COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE REGULATIONS OF THE CITY OF MANDEVILLE AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH. A motion to discuss was made by Mrs. Bush and seconded by Mrs. McGuire. A vote to proceed with discussion passed 4-1(Zuckerman against) Mr. Danielson asked for a motion to discuss. A motion was made by Mrs. McGuire and seconded by Mrs. Bush. Mr. Danielson explained this was an ordinance introduced a few months ago and basically would have taken the grandfathering clause from 2014 which expired last December and extending it for 12 months while also providing for some other discussions restricting size and scope. Mr. Danielson proposed this withdrawal based upon the introduction of the previous ordinance. Mrs. McGuire explained last meeting she voted against discussing this item and did not feel it was time sensitive or critical but has discovered this complicates the enforcement of the current sign ordinance for the administration. Mr. Zuckerman

Stated he voted both times to push this to the next agenda because he feels anything that can be pushed to a live in person meeting should be, and this is not that critical. He has no issue withdrawing the ordinance. Mrs. Bush is in favor of discussing and feels this would help the administration with enforcement and basically restores the city to the original ordinance which bans electronic signs subject to the introduction of anything new.

Mr. Dorris feels the city needs to move forward with enforcement. He also stated the council does not get an accurate count of zoom attendees because he is listed as one person but his wife it in attendance also. Ace has turned their sign off and others need to comply as well. Ms. Hamilton stated nothing has changed with why the city created this ban on electronic signs over 5 year ago. Businesses have had a change to appeal and she asked how many businesses have submitted this appeal which was due by May 1, 2019. She feels if we do not stick with the original ordinance we will look like New Orleans and Kenner. Mr. Danielson’s hope 5 years ago was that the council would come back and review the ordinance to look at certain stipulations based upon size, scope, animation, etc. He wants to be very clear he is not in favor of large scale digital scale signs. He has heard from many people who wonder why the small scale, no animation, no movement, limited in size signs would that not be appropriate in certain areas of the city. He just wants to see a reasonable solution to the problem for these small scale signs. The ordinance he would like to introduce would look at all signs, not just electronic signs. Dr. Kreller agrees with Mr. Danielson and does not want to look like New Orleans in any form. He wants to keep Mandeville the way it was years ago. One thing that has changed in the last 5 years is technology and that needs to be looked at but he does not want large, motion signs in Mandeville. Ms. Hamilton sked the question about hiring a consultant in the ordinance that was not introduced. Could this be done internally? Mr. Danielson explained if that ordinance was introduced it would go to Planning & Zoning. The ordinance was to review the entire sign ordinance and a consultant was an option, not set in stone. With no further comments or questions, a vote was taken and the withdrawal passed 4-1 (Danielson against).

3. Introduction of [Ordinance No. 21-03](https://www.cityofmandeville.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/ord-21-03.pdf); AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MANDEVILLE AMENDING SECTION 17-86 OF CHAPTER 17 OF THE CITY OF MANDEVILLE CODE OF ORDINANCES AND SECTION 5.1.15 OF APPENDIX A- COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE REGULATIONS OF THE CITY OF MANDEVILLE AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH. A motion to discuss was made by Dr. Kreller and seconded by Mrs. McGuire. A vote to proceed with discussion passed 4-1 (Zuckerman against). Mr. Danielson asked for a motion to discuss. A motion was made by Dr. Kreller and seconded by Mrs. Bush. Mr. Danielson stated this will be referred to Planning and Zoning.

**PUBLIC COMMENT:** none

**FINANCE REPORT:**

Mrs. Sides stated the sales tax revenue for the past three months is up 10% from the same time in 2019. We are 5 months into the fiscal year and we are over 50% of the budgeted sales tax revenue. This is due to the parish focusing on collection of online sales tax.

**PROJECTS IN PROGRESS**

* 1. **1. 2018 Roadway Maintenance Contract** a. T.O. 3; 95% Complete 1. Miscellaneous drainage and pavement work ongoing.
	2. 2. Drainage improvements in Shadows – work are 90% complete.
	3. 3. Additional brick paver crossings on Girod & Lafitte – construction waiting scheduling.
	4. b. T.O. 4; 50% Complete 1. Miscellaneous pavement work replacing failed concrete road panels in Old Golden Shores is halfway complete.
	5. c. T.O. 5; Approved by the City Council on 12-17-2020
1. 1. Modifications to ditch between Woodstone and Pontchartrain Elementary School to begin February 22.
2. **2. 2016 Sewer and Water Maintenance Contract** 1. Awaiting certificate of clear lean.
	1. **3. Bayou Castine Seawall Repair** - Awarded. a. Gill’s Crane Services @ $922,224.00
	2. b. Decking complete South of the gazebo, pile caps installed.
	3. c. Decking being constructed North of gazebo.
	4. **4. FD4 Station 42 Warning Signs -** Awarded a. Subterranean Construction LLC @ $28,400.00.
	5. b. Notice to Proceed -9/28/20 – Signs installed, awaiting control panel installation.
	6. **5. Lift Stations 12 & 24 -** Awarded. a. Subterranean Construction, LLC @ $588,230.00
	7. b. Notice to Proceed, 10/01/20.
	8. c. Control panel has arrived and has been installed, awaiting Cleco to energize.
	9. **6. Effluent Pump Modifications** a. Contracts routed for signature.
	10. **7. Sunset Point Restrooms** a. Plans complete.
	11. b. Project ready for proposals to go out.

Mr. Siverd informed the council they will be installing the rubber mulch around all of the playgrounds soon.

Mr. Jeff Lyons wanted to say thank you for the installation of the stop sign at Lamarque and Monroe.

Dr. Kreller requested update on the new water lines in Golden Glen. Mr. Siverd stated that project is still in design. Mr. Lebreton explained a survey was completed and the administration is in the design phase. Dr. Kreller stated when they did the tie ins for the water lines in New Golden Shores, they left out the tie in for the monument sign by Cheron. Can this be fixed? Mr. Siverd stated if it’s not an emergency it can be added in the new water and sewer contract. Dr. Kreller stated this is no rush. He also mentioned in Fire Station 4, did the control boards arrive? Mr. Siverd stated yes the city received these and also the board for the lift station by Starbucks.

Mr. Danielson wanted to remind everyone City Hall is closed next Monday and Tuesday. He also stated he feels they made a good decision to meet via zoom. We had 44 attendees and 14 admin staff which totals 58 people. The Community Center with the covid restrictions can hold 35 people and we would have had to turn some people away for this meeting.

**ADJOURNMENT:**

Mrs. Bush made a motion to adjourn the meeting, seconded by Mr. Zuckerman. Mr. Danielson adjourned the meeting at 8:25p.m.

/s/ /s/

Kristine Scherer Rick Danielson

Council Clerk Council Chairman